REMARKS

Claim 1 has been cancelled. Claims 2 and 3 remain pending in the present application.

Claim 2 has been amended. Basis for the amendments can be found throughout the

specification, drawing and claims as originally filed.

Claim 2 has been amended to overcome the typographical error. Accordingly, Applicants

believe the claim to be in proper order.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Examiner has rejected Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Enomoto et al.

Applicants have cancelled Claim 1 and now believe this rejection to be moot.

The Examiner has indicated that Claims 2 and 3 are allowable over the art of record.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to pass Claims 2 and 3 to issue at her

earliest possible convenience. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the present

application, she should not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Dated: October 19, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 31,3**0**6

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303

(248) 641-1600

WRDT/jp